IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

			LESILLO, individually, others similarly situated,	Case No. 8:23-cv-00308-JFB-CRZ		
			Plaintiff,			
	v.			Joint Rule 26(f) Report		
	NER & ssional		NER, a Pennsylvania			
			Defendant.			
for the	The following attorneys conferred to prepare the Report of Parties' Planning Conference above-captioned case: Mark Javitch, Esq. (for Plaintiff) Edward Fox, Esq. (for Defendant) The parties discussed the case and jointly make the following report: INITIAL MATTERS:					
	A.	Jurisd	iction and Venue: As to the de	efendant(s) (mark all boxes that may apply).		
			Jurisdiction is contested beca	nuse		
			Venue is contested because _			
		\boxtimes	Neither jurisdiction nor venu	e are contested.		

If the case alleges an action for forfeiture, complete only sections IV: Settlement; V. Consent to Final Resolution by a Magistrate Judge; and VI: Case Progression.

¹ Counsel are advised to use caution in filing this report as well as other documents so there is no disclosure of information required by the E-Government Act of 2002 to be kept non-public, such as addresses, phone numbers, social security numbers, etc. If such identifiers are required to be disclosed to opposing parties, you may wish to file redacted versions for the public court file and serve opposing parties with unredacted versions. See NECivR 5.3, available on the court's Website at www.ned.uscourts.gov.

B.	<u>Immunity</u> : As to the defendant(s) (mark all boxes that may apply).		
		An immunity defense has been raised by a defendant.	
		An immunity defense will be raised, such defense to be raised on or before Click here to enter a date.	
	\boxtimes	No immunity defense has or will be raised in this case.	
C.	C. Either jurisdiction or venue is being challenged, or a defense of immunity will be raised, and:		
	\boxtimes	Not applicable.	
		The parties agree that discovery and case progression can begin before the jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues are decided.	
		Any or all parties believe that case progression and discovery should be stayed pending a ruling on those issues, and	
		before any motion(s) to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues can be filed, initial discovery limited to those issues will be necessary, and such discovery can be completed by: Click here to enter a date Explain:	
		a dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues. A conference with the court is requested.	
		motion(s) to resolve jurisdiction, venue, and/or immunity issues can be filed on or before Click here to enter a date. .	

II. CLAIMS AND DEFENSES:

A. <u>Claims</u>: Provide a brief statement of the alleged facts and a succinct summary of the alleged federal or state theories of recovery, citing any relevant statutes which provide the basis for any statutory claims. You do not need to list the elements of each claim.

² The category "Claims" includes any claims raised by any party, including not only those raised by the party(s) who filed the lawsuit, but any crossclaims, counterclaims, or third-party claims raised in the operative pleadings.

Plaintiff brings this putative class action for alleged violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(c) of the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act for unwanted telemarketing texts to telephones and related FCC violations.

- B. <u>Defenses</u>: ³ List each alleged affirmative defense to the claims, and for any defenses based on a statute, cite the relevant statute.
- 1. The Complaint, and each and every claim for relief asserted therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of unclean hands, laches, estoppel, and/or waiver.
- 3. Plaintiff's claims are barred as the phone number at issue is a business-related phone number, not a residential phone number.
- 4. Plaintiff's claims are barred as the phone number at issue has been improperly placed onto the Do Not Call list.
- 5. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Defendant established and implemented, with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to prevent any violations of the TCPA.
- 6. Defendant is not liable to Plaintiff or putative class members because Defendant acted reasonably and with due care and substantially complied with all applicable statutes, regulations, ordinances, and/or other laws.
- 7. To the extent any violation of the TCPA or FCC regulation occurred, it resulted from a bona fide error, notwithstanding procedures reasonably adapted and designed to avoid such errors, and, therefore, it was not willing or knowing.
- 8. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because Defendant had a good faith belief that Plaintiff consented to receive the text messages alleged in the Complaint and/or was not qualified to be on the Do Not Call list by virtue of its business use of the telephone number at issue.
- 9. Plaintiff's damages, if any, are attributable to Plaintiff's own conduct, acts, or omissions, or the conduct, acts, or omissions of third parties.

³ The category "Defenses" includes any defenses raised in any pleading filed in response to the operative complaint, any crossclaims, counterclaims, or third-party claims.

- 10. Plaintiff's putative class action claims are barred because Plaintiffs cannot satisfy the requirements to certify or maintain a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 11. Plaintiff's alleged damages and Defendant's liability, if any, are incapable of proof on a class-wide basis.
- 12. Defendant reserves the right to assert additional defenses, affirmative or otherwise or matters constituting grounds for dismissal or avoidance of the claims. Out of an abundance of caution, and pending discovery, Defendant affirmatively pleads all affirmative defenses set forth under Rule 8(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or other applicable rule or law.
- III. METHOD OF RESOLUTION: Please indicate below how the parties anticipate that this case will be resolved.

П

<u>Admir</u>	nistrative record review:			
	A party will request discovery.			
	A party will not request discovery. Note: If no party is requesting discovery, the parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to schedule a conference for entering an administrative review scheduling order.			
	A dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed. The parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report at this time. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to set a case progression conference.			
Cross-	motions for summary judgment and/or resolution on stipulated facts:			
	A party will request discovery.			
	A party will not request discovery. The parties' cross-motions for summary judgment will be filed on or before Click here to enter a date Note: If no party is requesting discovery, the parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report.			
	A dispute exists as to whether and to what extent discovery is needed. The parties need not complete the Section VI: Case Progression portion of this report at this time. Instead, contact the assigned magistrate judge to set a case progression conference.			

\boxtimes	Trial:				
		No party has timely demanded a jury trial.			
		A party has timely demanded a jury trial and does not anticipate waiving that demand, and the parties agree that all or part of the claims in this case must be tried to a jury.			
		A party has demanded a jury trial, and the parties disagree on whether trial by jury is available for all or part of this case. A motion to strike the jury demand will be filed no later than: Click here to enter a date			
		The party who previously demanded a jury trial now wishes to waive that right. Any other party who will now demand a jury trial will file that demand within 14 days of the filing of this report, in the absence of which jury trial will be deemed to have been waived.			
SETT	LEME	NT:			
Couns	sel state	(mark all boxes that may apply):			
	To da	ate, there have been no efforts taken to resolve this dispute.			
\boxtimes	Effort	s have been taken to resolve this dispute			
	\boxtimes	prior to filing this lawsuit. Explain: Plaintiff sent Defendant a notice of violation and request for telemarketing policy letter.			
		after filing this lawsuit, but before the filing of this report. Explain:			
		Defendant requested, and Plaintiff provided, an initial settlement demand, to which Defendant is responding prior to the filing of this report.			
		sel have discussed the court's Mediation Plan and its possible application in ase with their clients and opposing counsel, and:			
	\boxtimes	It is agreed:			
		☐ Mediation is appropriate at this time, and pending the outcome of those efforts,			

IV.

					case progression should be stayed.
					case progression should not be stayed.
			\boxtimes		tion may be appropriate in the future. Please explain when elieve mediation may be useful:
					ntiff suggests mediation or a settlement conference while the ass certification is pending.
			may be	e approp	refendant suggests mediation, or a settlement conference, priate after or during the end phases of discovery, or at the e motion for class certification is pending.
				Media	tion will not be appropriate. Explain:
				they w	el believe that with further efforts, the case can be settled, and vill be prepared to discuss settlement with a private mediator
			At leas	st one pa	arty is not interested in exploring options for settling this case.
V.	CONS	SENT T	O FIN	AL RE	SOLUTION BY A MAGISTRATE JUDGE:
	provisivolunt procee conser Appea case w	ions of arily codings in the must ls. If the will rem	28 U.S onsent n the cabe unar e parties nain with	S.C. § 6 to have ase, including the the a	he Civil Case Management Practices, in accordance with the 36(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, the parties in this case may e a United States Magistrate Judge conduct all further luding the trial, and order the entry of final judgment. The and any appeal must be taken to the United States Court of a presently consent, they may do so later. Absent consent, the assigned United States District Judge or, if not previously it will be randomly assigned to a District Judge.
		-	ct all fu	•	luntarily consent to have the United States Magistrate Judge roceedings in this case including the trial and entry of final
		At leas	st one pa	arty doe	es not currently consent.
VI.	CASE	PROG	GRESSI	ON:	
	A.	Initial	mandat	ory disc	closures required by Rule 26(a)(1).
			Have b	oeen coi	mpleted.

	\boxtimes	Will be con	npleted by September 25, 2023.
B.	Motio	ns to amend	the pleadings or to add parties.
	\boxtimes	Motions to	does not anticipate a need to amend pleadings or add parties amend pleadings or add parties will be filed by Plaintiff(s) on or ember 18, 2023.
		Motions to	nt does anticipate a need to amend pleadings or add parties. amend pleadings or add parties will be filed by Defendant (s) on the ecember 18, 2023
	If mon	e than 90 day	ys are needed, explain why:
C.	Disco	very.	
	1)	As to writte	en discovery under Rules 33, 34, and 36:
		inte	parties have discussed currently anticipated number of rrogatories, document production requests, and requests for hissions. Based on those discussions:
		\boxtimes	The parties do not anticipate any disputes over the number of discovery requests served.
			The parties believe a dispute may arise over the number of (mark all boxes that may apply):
			☐ Interrogatories. ☐ Requests for Production. ☐ Requests for Admission. If the parties anticipate a possible dispute over the number of written discovery requests, when completing Section VII below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.
		b. Wri	tten discovery will be completed ⁴ by February 28, 2024

⁴ "Completed" means the discovery answers or responses to written discovery have been served. As such, written discovery must be served sufficiently in advance of the discovery completion deadline to afford the responding party the time permitted under the discovery rules to answer or respond.

2)	As to	expert d	disclosures as required under Rule 26(a)(2):
		The pa	arties do not anticipate calling experts to testify at trial.
	\boxtimes	The pa	arties anticipate calling experts to testify at trial, and
		a.	Counsel agree to at least <u>identify</u> such experts, by name address, and profession (i.e., without the full reports required by Rule 26(a)(2)), by April 1, 2024 ⁵
		b.	Expert <u>reports</u> shall be served by April 1, 2024 ⁶
		c.	Motions to exclude expert testimony on <i>Daubert</i> and related grounds will be filed by August 12 , 2024
3)	As to	depositi	on discovery under Rules 30 and 45:
	a.		naximum number of depositions that may be taken by the affs as a group and the defendants as a group is 5.
	b.	All de	positions
		\boxtimes	will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).
			will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1), except as follows:
	c.		positions, regardless of whether they are intended to be used, will be completed by July 12, 2024 .
4)	Protec	tive Or	der:
	\boxtimes	-	arties anticipate that a protective order will be needed to ete the exchange of discovery, and
		\boxtimes	the parties hereby move the court to enter the court's standard protective order (see, <u>Civil Case Management</u> website page,

⁵ **Note**: The parties may choose to eliminate this expert identification step and propose only an expert disclosure deadline. The parties may agree on separate dates for the plaintiff(s) and the defendant(s).

⁶ **Note**: The parties may agree on separate dates for the plaintiff(s) and the defendant(s), and they may include rebuttal expert deadlines.

		provisions.	Only
		with the court's standard HIPAA lang permitting release of Protected Health Informati	_
		the parties hereby move the court to enter the prop protective order attached to this report.	osed
		the parties will jointly move, or a party will move for of a protective order, emailing a copy of the proprotective order in Word format to the chambers of magistrate judge assigned to the case. ⁷	osed
	At leas	one party believes a protective order will not be necestase.	ssary
Civil C discove	Case Marry of 1	and Privileged Information: The parties have reviewed nagement Practices, including those provisions discussivileged Information, and they have discussed who ies of documents, are presumptively privileged.	ssing
	-	rties agree that the following categories of documents ptively privileged and need not be listed on a privilege l	
	\boxtimes	Documents between legal counsel and clients created cafter September 6, 2023	on or
		Documents maintained by consulting or testifying experience on or after Click here to enter a date.	perts
		The following documents:	
		I have discussed the discovery of privileged information ave not agreed on what documents are presumptived.	
	-	arties anticipate a possible dispute over Work Product ged Information discovery, when completing Section	

⁷ If a dispute exists over the need for a protective order, or the content of that order, the parties shall confer in good faith and if they cannot resolve the issue without court intervention, they shall schedule a conference call with the magistrate judge assigned to the case before engaging in written motion practice.

			below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.	
	6)	Civil (onically Stored Information (ESI): The parties have reviewed the Case Management Practices, including those provisions discussing very of ESI and,	
		\boxtimes	the parties do not anticipate a dispute over preservation, scope, and production of ESI.	
			the parties anticipate a dispute regarding the preservation, scope, and production of ESI.	
			If the parties anticipate a possible dispute over ESI, when completing Section VII below, indicate when a conference with the court may be useful to avert or resolve that dispute.	
	7)	Other	special discovery provisions agreed to by the parties include:	
D.	Dispos	itive M	lotions.	
		-	arties do not anticipate filing motions to dismiss, for judgment on the ngs, or for summary judgment as to any claims and/or defenses.	
	\boxtimes	-	y anticipates filing a motion to dismiss, and/or for judgment on the ngs, and/or or for summary judgment	
		a.	as to the following claims and/or defenses:8;	
		b.	such motions to be filed on or before August 12, 2024	
E.	Other 1		to which the parties stipulate and/or which the court should know or	
F.	This case will be ready for trial before the court by: December 9, 2024.			
G.	The estimated length of trial is 5 days.			
CONF	EREN	CING '	WITH THE COURT:	
A.	Initial	Case Co	onference:	

⁸ As to forfeiture actions, dispositive motions on any "claims and/or defenses" include any

VII.

motions to suppress.

		At least one party requests a conference with the court before the court enters a final case progression order for this lawsuit.
	×	All parties agree that the court may enter a final case progression order for this lawsuit without first conferring with the parties.
B.	Interi	m Status Conference:
		At least one party believes a court conference with the parties may be helpful (e.g., to assist with averting or resolving a dispute over written discovery, ESI, or privilege/work product discovery; following service of mandatory disclosures; after completing written discovery, etc.), and requests a conference be set in: (month/year).
	×	The parties do not currently anticipate that a court conference will assist with case progression, and they will contact the assigned magistrate judge to schedule a conference if a problem arises.
acknowledge that they have reviewed the Civil Case		signing this document, counsel and any self-represented parties owledge that they have reviewed the Civil Case Management Practices, ding those provisions discussing discovery of Electronically Stored mation and Privileged Information.
Dated: Septe	mber 1	8, 2023.
/s/ Mark L	Javitch	/s/ Edward M. Fox
Plaintiff's C	Counsel	Defendant's Counsel
		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	Court 1	rify that on September 18, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the ats' Counsel

/s/ Mark L. Javitch